Graver vs. Pall Filters

SAVING MONEY WITH WHAT IS REALLY NEEDED



Another example of how our knowledge of your industry and needs saves time and money.



The Pali Emflon filter shown above is perfect for certain situations, but was an expensive overkill for our customers needs.

The Graver filter shown below is a perfect solution for our customer at a savings of \$300 per filter and saved three weeks of delivery time.





2231 Ampere Drive Louisville, KY 40299 502 267-8677 Fax 502 266-7928 1 800-489-8677 solutions@raross.com www.raross.com

Serving Kentucky, Indiana, Ohio and W. Virginia

Our solution saved our customer almost \$3600 plus quicker delivery

SITUATION:

Customer was using a Pall Emflon series Teflon membrane filter that is marketed for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries as a tank vent filter in a fermentation process. While the Emflon filter is "technically" suited for this application, it was massive overkill for the service. We were able to provide a Graver TefTEC series Teflon membrane filter that was a third the price, had the same 0.2 micron absolute rating, same materials of construction, and only negligible difference in surface area (8.5 sqft vs. 8.6 sqft) as the Pall filter. The main difference between the filters is the Pall uses a double layer Teflon membrane where the Graver uses a single layer Teflon membrane. The double layer membrane of the Pall filter is what makes it overkill for the application and is how and why the Pall filter is marketed as a pharmaceutical and biotechnology filter. The elimination of the double layer membrane (and a filter that does not have the Pall name on it) allowed us to save the customer nearly \$300 per 10" filter and still give them the filtration level and quality they need and deserve. Plus we were able to provide these filters in 5-7 business days vs. 30 business days for the Pall filter! For this single order of 12 filters we saved the customer almost \$3600 and weeks of waiting for their filters.